

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

SUBJECT:

1. [] and [] met with Sam PAPICH on 3 February 1966. PAPICH stated HEINE's lawyer, RAUSKAUKAS (RA), had come to the Bureau, ~~apparently~~ apparently on a fishing expedition to determine what, if any, interest the Bureau had in this matter, and had given the Bureau a copy of the HELMS affidavit. This was the first knowledge the Bureau had of this matter, and the wording of the affidavit, such as to imply that the Agency is engaged in extensive operational activities with RAUS without the knowledge of the Bureau. Recognizing the "flap" potential of this matter if it gets out of control or on a higher level, PAPICH was looking for the proper words to be used in his report.
2. We promptly straightened PAPICH out on the matter of the Bureau's knowledge of the ~~HEINE~~ case, by inviting his attention to our CSCI of 12 January 1965 in which we informed the Bureau of the filing of the lawsuit by HEINE against RAUS, and mentioned that RAUS was an occasional contact of the Agency on Estonian Emigre matters. It was apparent that the Bureau Desk Officer had not brought this to the attention of PAPICH.
3. We went on to inform PAPICH that RAUS was an unpaid contact and informant, and that the question of whether this constituted ^{legal} employment as described in the HELMS affidavit had been carefully considered by our General Counsel and the Counsel for the Defendant, and had been decided in the affirmative.
4. PAPICH was fully satisfied and indicated he anticipated no further problem. There was some informal conversation as to the seemingly unusual ~~procedures~~ tactics being followed by the Agency in this case to protect RAUS.

[]
SC/C/CI

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
SOURCE METHODS EXEMPTION 3828
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT
DATE 2003 2006

SECRET